

Differential Support of Lake Food Webs by Three Types of Terrestrial Organic Carbon

ELE 00670-2005 Revision

Jonathan J. Cole<sup>1</sup>, Stephen R. Carpenter<sup>2</sup>, Michael L. Pace<sup>1</sup>, Matthew C. Van de Bogert<sup>2</sup>,

James L. Kitchell<sup>2</sup>, James R. Hodgson<sup>3</sup>

**ECOLOGY LETTERS, IN PRESS**

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Ecosystem Studies, Box AB (65 Sharon Turnpike), Millbrook, NY 12545

USA ([colej@ecostudies.org](mailto:colej@ecostudies.org), [pacem@ecostudies.org](mailto:pacem@ecostudies.org))

<sup>2</sup>Center for Limnology, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI 53706 USA

([srcarpen@facstaff.wisc.edu](mailto:srcarpen@facstaff.wisc.edu), [kitchell@mhub.limnology.wisc.edu](mailto:kitchell@mhub.limnology.wisc.edu), [mcvandeb@wisc.edu](mailto:mcvandeb@wisc.edu))

<sup>3</sup>Department of Biology, St. Norbert College, De Pere, WI. 54115 USA

([jim.hodgson@snc.edu](mailto:jim.hodgson@snc.edu))

Correspondence information: e-mail: [colej@ecostudies.org](mailto:colej@ecostudies.org), telephone: 845-677-7600,

ext. 135, fax: 845-677-5976

Type of article – letter

Running Head: “pathways of allochthony”

Word count - Abstract – 177 words, Text (w/o figure legends or appendix) – 5207

Number of refs – 53 (text), 34 (appendix)

**Keywords**

Subsidy, food web, allochthony, <sup>13</sup>C, model, whole-lake experiments

**Abstract**

Organic carbon inputs from outside of ecosystem boundaries potentially subsidize recipient food webs. Four, whole-lake additions of dissolved inorganic  $^{13}\text{C}$  were made to reveal the pathways of subsidies to lakes from terrestrial dissolved organic carbon (t-DOC), terrestrial particulate organic carbon (t-POC) and terrestrial prey items (t-prey). Terrestrial DOC, the largest input, was a major subsidy of pelagic bacterial respiration, but little of this bacterial C was passed up the food web. Zooplankton received < 2% of their C from the t-DOC to bacteria pathway. Terrestrial POC significantly subsidized the production of both zooplankton and benthic invertebrates, and was passed up the food web to *Chaoborus* and fishes. This route supplied 33% to 73% of carbon flow to zooplankton and 20 to 50% to fishes in non-fertilized lakes. Terrestrial prey, by far the smallest input, provided some fishes with >20% of their carbon. The results show that impacts of cross-ecosystem subsidies depend on characteristics of the imported material, the route of entry into the food web, the types of consumers present, and the productivity of the recipient system.